





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

`***					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/720,754	02/19/2001	Duane L. Wires	00265	5307	
	7590 09/16/2003				
Benjamin A. Randall Herschel, Accettola, Bloom & Mills 615 Adams Street			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
Toledo, OH 4	504		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	4	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)
09/720,754	WIRES	WIRES
Examiner	Group Art Unit	Group Art Unit
M. VAREOT	1732	T 1732

	Examiner	Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	M. VAREOT	1 ' 1 '
The MAN INCORP.		1775
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover speet ben	learn the correspondence address—
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	2 -	DAC
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 30	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory mininult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS frontatute, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	•	
Ø Claim(s) 1 - 2 7		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) 1 - 27 Application Papers		are subject to restriction or election requirement
·		requirement
Application Papers	is 🗆 approved 🗆	requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆	requirement
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	is 🗆 approved 🗆	requirement
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is 🗆 approved 🗆	requirement
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved □ ected to by the Examiner	requirement i disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	is □ approved □ ected to by the Examiner	requirement i disapproved.
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	requirement i disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the:	is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received.	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- received.	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have beer Certified copies of the priority documents have beer	is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. In received in Application Nonts have been received	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have beer Certified copies of the priority documents have beer Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is approved content in approved to by the Examiner of under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. In received in Application Not the three been received that Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved content in approved to by the Examiner of under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. In received in Application Not the three been received that Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cected to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. received in Application Nonts have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)—a received a	requirement disapproved. (d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cected to by the Examiner of under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. In received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)—No(s).	requirement disapproved. (d). a)) erview Summary, PTO-413
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cected to by the Examiner of under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—a received. In received in Application No and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)—No(s).	requirement] disapproved. (d)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Application/Control Number: 09/720,754

Art Unit: 1732

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9 and 13-18, drawn to a method, apparatus and gasket for molding a lens.

Group II, claim(s) 10-12, drawn to a polymerizable resin.

Group III, claim(s) 19, drawn to a front mold.

Group IV, claim(s) 20-25, drawn to a method of coating a substrate.

Group V, claim(s) 26 and 27, drawn to a coating material for a substrate.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The claims of Groups II-V do not share the same special technical feature of the gasket with a lower inner edge which has an upper surface by which the front mold is removably sealed and held in position in the gasket.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Randall on August 29, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 09/720,754

Art Unit: 1732

Page 3

advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention

to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

September 14, 2003

MATHIEU D. VARGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

9/14/03